Long-Term Aging Performance of Fluoropolymer Heat-Shrink Films Used on UVC Lamps

Chris Rockett

Production/Applications Engineer

Light Sources Inc.

IUVA Americas Conference – Orlando, Florida – 10 Mar 2020

Project Motivation & Summary

- Many OEMs and end-users of low-pressure UVC lamps seek a way to protect lamps to mitigate the risk of glass shards in the event of lamp breakage, for example:
 - Food & beverage processing and packaging
 - Pharmaceutical manufacture
 - Healthcare
 - ... or anywhere lamps may be handled or used around moving parts
- The challenge is that any material used for this purpose must be transparent in the UVC spectral range, which leaves very few options. Fortunately, we have fluoropolymers (often known by the genericized trademark Teflon) that meet several important criteria for this application.
- Due to the remarkable properties of the carbon-fluorine bond, fluoropolymers achieve outstanding engineering properties such as high melting & decomposition temperatures, high toughness, low friction coefficients, resistance to UV degradation, and UVC-transparency through thin sections.
- Light Sources Inc. & LightTech, among other lamp manufacturers, offer shatter-protective encapsulation of low-pressure UVC lamps

Project Motivation & Summary

- Fluoropolymer (FP) heat-shrink tubing, typically made of FEP* or PFA** is applied directly on low-pressure mercury & amalgam lamps where it is exposed to intense UVC radiation for thousands of hours
- The initial 254 nm transmission of these films (0.25-0.28 mm thickness) is known to be around 85-90% from manufacturer data or calculated by comparing radiometry of the same lamp with and without the film. But no manufacturer of FP films provides transmission data after long-term exposure to UVC and heat. The hypothesis is that they surely must degrade under these conditions.
- The objective of this project was therefore to characterize the long-term change in transmission for various FP heat-shrink films when applied on lamps of different power levels and operated for up to 8000 hours

* Fluorinated ethylene propylene

** Perfluoroalkoxy alkane

Experimental Matrix

Lamp Type Material & Supplier	400 mA T5 (15 mm OD) lamp	800 mA T5 (15 mm OD) lamp	2.0 A T6 (19 mm OD) lamp
Manufacturer "A" T5 FEP Thickness as-received = 0.22 mm Shrunk thickness = 0.26 mm	0, 500, 1000, 2000, 4000, 6000, 8000 hrs Specimens L61-72	0, 500, 1000, 2000, 4000, 6000, 8000 hrs Specimens H37-48	
Manufacturer "C" T5 FEP Thickness as-received = 0.42 mm Shrunk thickness = 0.49 mm	0, 500, 1000, 2000, 4000, 6000, 8000 hrs Specimens L73-84	0, 500, 1000, 2000, 4000, 6000, 8000 hrs Specimens H49-60	
Manufacturer "A" T6 FEP Thickness as-received = 0.225 Shrunk thickness = 0.26 mm			0, 500, 1000, 2000, 4000, 6000, 8000 hrs Specimens A1-12
Manufacturer "B" T6 FEP Thickness as-received = 0.22 mm Shrunk thickness = 0.27 mm			0, 500, 1000, 2000, 4000, 6000, 8000 hrs Specimens A13-24
Manufacturer "B" T6 PFA Thickness as-received = 0.22 mm Shrunk thickness = 0.28 mm			0, 500, 1000, 2000, 4000, 6000, 8000 hrs Specimens A25-36

Experimental Matrix

Lamp Physical & Electrical Data

	Lamp Current (nominal)	Lamp Voltage	Lamp Power	Body Diameter	Overall length	Arc length	Power Density	Wall Loading
Description	mA	V	w	mm	mm	mm	W/cm	W/cm ²
GPH436T5L/4	400	48	19	15	436	347	0.55	0.116
GPH436T5L/HO/4	800	46	36	15	436	308	1.17	0.248
GPHHA436T6L/4	2000	40	88	19	436	368	2.39	0.401

The 436 mm length was chosen as the best compromise between fitting in available aging cabinet space, energy efficiency, and ensuring that a good portion of the film was experiencing the full UVC output of the lamp (i.e. not dominated by end-effects near the electrodes)

Experimental Procedure

- 1. Sample lamps 436 mm length at three different power levels prepared (standard-output, high-output, and amalgam), and five FP materials selected
- 2. Radiometry (peak irradiance) measured initially at 0 hrs on bare lamps and then again after the FP heat-shrink sleeves were applied
- 3. Lamps operated in a ventilated aging cabinet using shielding between each to avoid additional UVC exposure from their adjacent neighbors
- 4. Lamps removed from the cabinet at selected time intervals and peak irradiance again measured with and without the FP sleeves
- 5. Sample squares cut from each FP sleeve after aging and spectral transmission measured with a custom spectroradiometry setup
- 6. These two sets of transmission measurements indirect & direct are used to characterize the films' aging behavior





Results – Calculated 254 nm film transmission from lamp radiometry

Mfr. "A" T6-FEP on 2.1 A amalgam lamps

	Bare Lamps	Jacketed lamps	
Hours	Avg. Irrad.	Avg. Irrad.	Film Transmission
	[W/cm²]	[W/cm²]	%
0	2.55E-04	2.27E-04	89.0
500	2.33E-04	1.99E-04	85.2
1000	2.38E-04	1.99E-04	83.4
2000	2.25E-04	1.85E-04	82.2
4000	2.13E-04	1.74E-04	81.6
6000	2.32E-04	1.89E-04	81.5
8000	2.26E-04	1.85E-04	82.0



Mfr. "B" T6-FEP on 2.1 A amalgam lamps

Bare Lamps	Jacketed lamps	
Avg. Irrad.	Avg. Irrad.	Film Transmission
[W/cm²]	[W/cm²]	%
2.57E-04	2.30E-04	89.4
2.36E-04	2.02E-04	85.4
2.40E-04	2.03E-04	84.8
2.37E-04	1.99E-04	83.8
2.19E-04	1.81E-04	82.6
2.32E-04	1.93E-04	83.2
2.29E-04	1.90E-04	83.0
	Bare Lamps Avg. Irrad. [W/cm ²] 2.57E-04 2.36E-04 2.40E-04 2.37E-04 2.19E-04 2.32E-04 2.29E-04	Bare LampsJacketed lampsAvg. Irrad.Avg. Irrad.[W/cm²][W/cm²]2.57E-042.30E-042.36E-042.02E-042.40E-042.03E-042.37E-041.99E-042.19E-041.81E-042.32E-041.93E-042.29E-041.90E-04



Mfr. "B" T6-PFA on 2.1 A amalgam lamps

Hours	Bare Lamps Avg. Irrad.	Jacketed lamps Avg. Irrad.	Film Transmission
	[W/cm²]	[W/cm²]	%
0	2.53E-04	2.23E-04	87.9
500	2.33E-04	2.01E-04	86.3
1000	2.31E-04	1.98E-04	85.7
2000	2.36E-04	2.00E-04	84.7
4000	2.18E-04	1.80E-04	82.8
6000	2.29E-04	1.91E-04	83.2
8000	2.20E-04	1.83E-04	83.0



Results – Calculated 254 nm film transmission from lamp radiometry

Mfr. "A" T5-FEP on 800 mA lamps

	Bare Lamps	Jacketed lamps	
Hours	Avg. Irrad.	Avg. Irrad.	Film Transmission
	[W/cm²]	[W/cm²]	%
0	1.15E-04	9.79E-05	85.4
500	1.12E-04	9.50E-05	84.8
1000	1.06E-04	9.02E-05	85.5
2000	1.04E-04	8.96E-05	86.5
4000	9.91E-05	8.20E-05	82.7
6000	1.03E-04	8.58E-05	83.3
8000	1.05E-04	8.70E-05	83.2



Mfr. "C" T5-FEP on 800 mA lamps

	Bare Lamps	Jacketed lamps			
Hours	Avg. Irrad.	Avg. Irrad.	Film Transmission		
	[W/cm²]	[W/cm²]	%		
0	1.12E-04	8.38E-05	74.9		
500	1.03E-04	8.55E-05	83.0		
1000	1.00E-04	8.25E-05	82.2		
2000	1.02E-04	8.11E-05	79.5		
4000	9.51E-05	7.38E-05	77.6		
6000	9.95E-05	7.78E-05	78.2		
8000	9.83E-05	7.53E-05	76.6		

Note: Mfr. C's film is 0.49 mm thick versus 0.26 mm for others



Results – Calculated 254 nm film transmission from lamp radiometry

75.0

70.0

0

1000

2000

3000

4000

Hours

5000

6000

7000

8000

Mfr. "A" T5-FEP on 400 mA lamps

	Bare Lamps	Jacketed lamps	
Hours	Avg. Irrad.	Avg. Irrad.	Film Transmission
	[W/cm²]	[W/cm²]	%
0	8.64E-05	7.73E-05	89.5
500	7.96E-05	6.99E-05	87.9
1000	7.75E-05	6.74E-05	87.0
2000	7.64E-05	6.78E-05	88.7
4000	7.05E-05	6.01E-05	85.2
6000	7.47E-05	6.47E-05	86.5
8000	7.45E-05	6.35E-05	85.2



Mfr. "C" T5-FEP on 400 mA lamps Note: Mfr. C's film is 0.49 mm Jacketed lamps **Bare Lamps** thick versus **Film Transmission** Hours Avg. Irrad. Avg. Irrad. 0.26 mm for [W/cm²] $[W/cm^2]$ % 0 8.50E-05 6.66E-05 78.3 others 7.51E-05 6.31E-05 84.0 500 7.75E-05 6.70E-05 86.4 1000 6.95E-05 5.76E-05 82.8 2000 4000 6.79E-05 5.63E-05 83.0 6.80E-05 5.56E-05 81.7 6000 8000 7.04E-05 5.62E-05 79.8 100.0 95.0 90.0 85.0 80.0



Calculated 254 nm transmission, by film type and lamp current

Spectroradiometry Setup

- Optronics OL-754-O-PMT double-monochromator with photomultiplier tube detector
- Optronics IS-670 6-inch diameter integrating sphere with PTFE internal coating (200-2500 nm range)
- Optronics OL-752-12 deuterium lamp calibration source





Spectroradiometry Procedure

- 1. Turn on deuterium lamp and allow to warm up for at least 20 minutes before placing it into its adaptor collar atop the integrating sphere
- 2. Run blank baseline scan 200-400 nm with no test specimen
- 3. Cut film specimen (approximately 50 mm square) from middle of sample lamp and clean with alcohol
- 4. Lay film specimen flat, sandwiching it between the integrating sphere's adaptor collar and a custom-made "washer" plate, which holds the film just in front of the entrance port of the sphere
- 5. Run scan 200-400 nm with film specimen in place, then remove film specimen
- 6. Repeat above steps #3-5 for each film specimen in a series
- After the last specimen of a series is measured, remove it and again run the blank baseline scan per step #2 above. It is recommended to run baseline scans every 10-12 specimen measurements.
- 8. To calculate spectral transmission, spectral irradiance for each specimen is divided into spectral irradiance of blank baseline scan that occurred nearest in time to the specimen measurement. Spectral irradiance curves are smoothed somewhat by using a three-period moving average.



Mfr. "A" T6 FEP (aged on 2-amp amalgam lamps 0-8000 hours, 3-period moving average)



Mfr "B" T6 FEP (3-period moving average), aged on 2-amp amalgam lamps 0-8000 hours



Mfr "B" T6 PFA (aged on 2-amp amalgam lamps 0-8000 hours, 3-period moving average)

Mfr "A" T5 FEP (aged on 800 mA lamps 0-8000 hours, 3-period moving average)



Mfr "C" T5 FEP (aged on 800 mA lamps 0-8000 hours, 3-period moving average)



Mfr "A" T5 FEP (aged on 400 mA lamps 0-8000 hours, 3-period moving average)



Mfr "C" T5 FEP (aged on 400 mA lamps 0-8000 hours, 3-period moving average)



Blank baseline scans of spectroradiometry setup



Irradiance (W/cm^2)

Discussion of Results

- Films experience degradation in UVC transmittance as expected, though the magnitude of this change after 8000 hours is surprisingly small: 5-7% on the amalgam lamps and just a few percent (perhaps) on the lower-powered standard- & high-output lamps.
- No substantial difference between FEP and PFA material types was observed. It could be argued that PFA degradation was worse and it is the more expensive of the two, so FEP seems to be the winner.
- Also no clear winner between the different manufacturers, so decision could probably be made on price alone. Not
 entirely fair to compare two manufacturers with different film thicknesses, but also not much reason to use the
 thicker film since it only results in more absorption
- Mfr. "C" films (the thicker FEP) appear to have experienced an improvement in transmittance over the aging time, which is counter to expectations but happened with both sets of samples and appears in both measurement procedures. Perhaps heat effects are causing beneficial changes in microstructure initially.
- Power density (i.e. wall loading) appears to make a substantial difference, as all samples aged on amalgam lamps showed the highest degradation. Degradation is likely accelerated by additional heat along with increased UVC.
- A realistic lifetime for lamps is 16,000 hours and this test was only half of that. However, the degradation seems to level off to an approximately linear rate after 2000 hours (similar to the lamps themselves) and one would not expect a significant deviation from this linear rate for the remainder of lamp lifetime.
- Happy to receive some >12,000-hour lamps from the field and measure film transmittance! This would require 0-hr film spectrometry and/or lamp radiometry measurements to compare as baseline

Discussion of Results

- Probable sources of error:
 - Inconsistency in applying heat-shrink film
 - Standard uncertainty of the detector alone is at least 5%, which can be as large as the observed change in transmittance!
 - Poor signal strength in spectroradiometry setup due to weak output of deuterium source (especially above 280 nm)
 - Rapid depreciation of deuterium lamp output in spectroradiometry setup means baseline constantly shifting –
 very important to make frequent blank baseline scans and correlate all measurements to the baseline nearest in
 time! This was learned in hindsight.
- A dual-beam benchtop spectrometer with a film holder would give more accurate transmission spectrometry results, but the films scatter light considerably so an integrating sphere accessory is necessary, which LSI does not have for our Perkin Elmer Lambda 365 instrument
- Using a digital caliper with 0.01 mm resolution, no change in film thickness was measured. A more sensitive instrument might tell a different story.
- Polymer analysis tools such as FTIR and Raman spectroscopy could give better insight into the actual degradation mechanisms.

Energy Consumption and CO₂ Emission Calculations

		Hours:	<u>500 h</u>	<u>1000 h</u>	<u>2000 h</u>	<u>4000 h</u>	<u>6000 h</u>	8000 h							
	<u>Watts</u>		#	#	#	#	#	#		W	W	W	W	W	W
Am	88.5		6	6	6	6	6	6		531	531	531	531	531	531
но	36		4	4	4	4	4	4		144	144	144	144	144	144
SO	19		4	4	4	4	4	4		76	76	76	76	76	76
									Hours:	500	1000	2000	4000	6000	8000
									Subtotal W·h	375500	751000	1502000	3004000	4506000	6008000
									Grand total V	V∙h =			16,14	6,500	
	Connecticut (CO2 emissio	on by elect	rical genera	ation = 24	3 kg/MW	'n								
	16.1465 * 24	3 = '	~3924 kg c	of CO2 prod	uced by t	his exper	iment								
	Car commute	e = 14.2 mi	round-trip		1 gal gas	oline pro	duces ~ a	8.9 kg CO2							
	My car: ~29	MPG		0.49 gal ga	soline = 4	l.35 kg C0	D2 per ro	ound-trip i	n car						
	Bicycle comm	nute = 10.6	mi round-	trip Bicyc	ling avera	ge is abo	ut 60 g C	O2 per mil	e.						
	10.6 * 60 = 0	.636 kg CO	2 per roun	d-trip on bi	icycle										
	CO2 savings	by bicycle =	= 3.714 kg	per round-t	trip										
	3924/3.7	714 = 10)57 con	nmutes	on bic	ycle n	eedeo	d to cor	npensate						
	My average i	s around 1	20-150 bic	ycle comm	utes per y	ear 7-9	years of	bicycle co	mmuting at this r	ate					
	Sources:														
	https://www	.eia.gov/ele	ectricity/st	ate/connect	ticut/										
	https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2010/jun/08/carbon-footprint-cycling														



Acknowledgements

• Hector Ramos – radiometry lab technician

> Made more than 250 lamp measurements for this experiment!

• An Rui "Andy" Zhu – electrician

Built out and wired the aging cabinet with 38 ballasts for 84 lamp positions, much of the work done in June-July when it was over 90°F (32°C) in the life test area!

Mohamed Maklad – CFO

> Approved the expenditure of time, manpower, and money on this project